

Confidential - Not for Further Distribution

For Professional and Institutional Investor use only. Your capital is at risk and the value of investments can go down as well as up.



BUILDING A BETTER PORTFOLIO

Balancing Performance and Liquidity May 2020



Asset Allocation With Illiquid Private Assets

- Private assets can complicate asset allocation:
 - Unique cash flow patterns unexpected capital calls and distributions
 - Difficult and costly to liquidate
 - Cash flow demands can disrupt public market asset allocations

- Often, decision making of topdown asset allocation group is separate from that of the bottomup private market deal team group
- How does the interaction of these two groups affect
 - Portfolio performance?
 - Liquidity risk?



Two Goals of the Paper

Model the interaction of top-down asset allocation with bottom-up private asset investing

Integrate liquidity management into a multi-asset, multi-period portfolio construction process



Building Portfolios: Top-Down & Bottom-Up





Source: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.



Key Features of OASIS

 Incorporates private asset commitment strategy into the measurement of a portfolio's liquidity characteristics

- Models Private Asset
 Cash Flows and
 Valuation
- Reflects the unique characteristics of private assets such as the delay and uncertainty of capital calls and high idiosyncratic risk
- Allows CIOs to express views on the performance of private assets and their fund-selection skill



Answering Some Important CIO Questions

- How to formulate a private asset commitment strategy to manage private asset exposure and the uncertainty in timing and magnitude of their cash flows over time?
- What should be the desired allocations (public vs. private, public passive vs. public active) given my liquidity risk tolerance?
- How would various market scenarios impact my portfolio's liquidity and performance?



Top-Down Asset Allocation

A CIO defines which assets serve as liquidity sources

- A "waterfall" for sourcing liquidity
 - First sell assets from the least disruptive and expensive level
 - If more assets must be sold, then sell from more disruptive liquidity levels
- A liquidity event occurs when a CIO must move down the waterfall to source liquidity

Portfolio Structure & Waterfall							
Liquidity Sources for			these Liquidity Demands				
				Dry Powder	Dry Powder		
Asset Type	Liquidity Level Description	GP Capital Calls	Rebalancing	Creation	Reversal		
(1) Liquid Passive (β stocks + bonds)	Capital Call Reserve	\checkmark	-	-	-		
	Available for Liquidity	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		
(2) Liquid Active (α stocks + bonds)	Only Available for Capital Calls if	2	-	-	-		
	Level (1) is exhausted	V					
(0) 1111 - 1 - 1 (0) 40 (0)	11						
(3) IIIIquia (NAV)	Unavailable for Liquidity	-	-	-	-		

Source: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.



Portfolio Structure – CIO's Baseline Portfolio Structure

• For this presentation, we assume the following portfolio structure:

Accet Tupe	Liquidity Level	Liquidity Lovel Decorintion	Baseline Portfolio	
Asset Type			Stock	Bond
(1) Liquid Passive	1A	Committed, but Uncalled Capital Call Reserve	1%	1%
		Uncommitted Capital Call Reserve	0%	0%
	1B	Passive Liquid Available for Liquidity	1%	2%
(2) Liquid Active	2	Available for Capital Calls if Level 1 is exhausted	45%	35%
(3) Illiquid (NAV)	3	Unavailable for Liquidity (LP Investment NAV)	1:	5%

Note: Yellow field indicates an investor input. Liquid active management alphas are assumed to be 100bp/y for stocks and 50bp/y for bonds. Source: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.



A Portfolio Liquidity Risk Measure – Severity Scores

A CIO can assign a subjective liquidity severity value to <u>each type</u> of liquidity event

Liquidity Severity Values – An Example

Liquidity Events		Severity Value	
Rebalancing Liquidity Shortage (1 type)	1B_RB	1	
Dry Powder Creation Liquidity Shortage (1 type)	1B_DP	1	
Dry Powder Reversal Liquidity Shortage (1 type)	1B_DP_RB	1	
	1A_CC	2	
Capital Call Liquidity Shortage (3 types)	1B_CC	3	
	2_CC	4	

Note: Yellow field indicates an investor input. Source: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.

• A portfolio's liquidity severity score quantifies a portfolio's liquidity risk, across different market environments



Bottom-Up Private Asset Investing

- CIO makes decisions on how to invest in private assets:
 - Vintage diversification
 - How quickly to build up and maintain private assets exposure (NAV% of overall portfolio)?
- These CIO decisions have implications for
 - Timing and magnitude of net cash flows (*i.e.*, distributions minus calls)
 - Private asset valuation (NAV)
- Commitment strategy should match CIO's objectives:
 - Achieve zero net cash flows for minimal disruption to public portfolio; or
 - Maintain NAV% of the entire portfolio; or
 - Target a higher NAV% of the entire portfolio



Impact of Commitment Strategy Decision

Given a portfolio asset allocation:

- Measures tradeoff between performance and liquidity risk, incorporating the commitment strategy design
- Helps to select appropriate commitment strategy

Comparison of Commitment Strategies



Target NAV%

- Target a certain horizon NAV%
- Stable commitment pattern
- Lower dispersion of NAV growth

Source: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.

Cash Flow Matching (CFM)

- Higher NAV% at horizon
- Few liquidity events, esp. in bad economic environments



Commitment Strategy & Liquidity Sensitivity to Market Environment

- CFM: Fewer 1A_CC liquidity events and uncorrelated with market environment
- Target NAV%: All 1A_CCs occur when market is below average
 - → Capital call liquidity shortages occur when liquidity is precious

Liquidity Event Sensitivity to Market Environment



Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. Source: Datastream, GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.



Impact of Asset Allocation Decision

Given a commitment strategy:

- Evaluates impact on portfolio's liquidity severity score and performance
- Helps make more informed asset allocation decisions
 - Private assets, given our assumptions, are more efficient in generating performance than public active assets

Different Portfolio Asset Allocations



Source of both charts: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.

Portfolio Liquidity – Performance Tradeoff





Market Scenarios: Impact of U-shape vs. V-shape Recovery

Given a commitment strategy (e.g., Target NAV%)

- Economic paths with a V-shape recovery lead to expected portfolio returns higher than those with a U-shape recovery
- A U-shape recovery encounters capital call liquidity shortages more often than a V-shape recovery
 - 3 vs. 0 quarters in 10y horizon

Liquidity Events Arising from U-Shape and V-Shape Recoveries

	U-shape	V-shape
Occurrence of 1A_CC in 10y	3	0
Expected Horizon Portfolio Return	6.6%	11.3%
Economic Paths (of 5,000)	193	789

Source: GIC EIS & PGIM IAS. Provided for illustrative purposes only.



CIO Takeaways

CIOs can use the framework to

- Analyze how their bottom-up private asset investment activity interacts with their top-down asset allocation decisions
- Study how their portfolios are exposed to various liquidity events
- Examine how their portfolios behave in various market scenarios
- Evaluate the consequences of changing their views on private asset performance relative to public markets
- → Help CIOs evaluate the tradeoff between expected portfolio performance and liquidity risk



Building a Better Portfolio: Balancing Performance and Liquidity







Important Disclosure

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Past performance is no guarantee or reliable indicator of future results. All investments involve risk, including the possible loss of capital. These materials are for informational or educational purposes only. In providing these materials, GIC Private Limited and its affiliates (collectively, "GIC") and PGIM are not acting as your fiduciary.

While GIC and PGIM have collaborated for purpose of conducting research and developing this material, GIC and PGIM are not joint ventures, affiliated in any way, or collectively providing or offering any services or products.

Alternative investments are speculative, typically highly illiquid and include a high degree of risk. Investors could lose all or a substantial amount of their investment. Alternative investments are suitable only for long-term investors milling to forego liquidity and put capital at risk for an indefinite period of time. Equities may decline in value due to both real and perceived general market, economic and industry conditions. Investors could lose all or a substantial amount of their investment. Alternative investments are suitable only for long-term investors milling to forego liquidity and put capital at risk for an indefinite period of time. Equitaes may decline in value due to both real and perceived general market, economic and industry conditions. Investing in the bond market is subject to risks, including market, integrator risk and liquidity risk. Commodities contain heightened risk, including markets based on certain generated to risks, market based on certain generated to any not adequately take into account certain factors and may result in a decline in the value of an investment, which could be substantial.

The analysis in the paper is based on hypothetical modeling. There is no guarantee, and no representation is being made, that an investor will or is likely to achieve profits, losses or results similar to those shown. Hypothetical or simulated performance results are provided for illustrative purposes only and have several inherent limitations. Unlike an actual performance record, simulated results do not represent actual performance and are generally prepared through the retroactive application of a model designed with the benefit of hindsight. There are frequently sharp differences between simulated results and actual results. In addition, simulated results do not represent actual performance record, simulated results cannot account for the impact of certain market risks such as lack of liquidity. There are several other factors related to the markets in general or the implementation of any specific investment strategy, which cannot be fully accounted for in the preparation of simulated results and all of which can adversely affect actual results.

All charts contained herein were created as of the date of this presentation, unless otherwise noted. Performance results for certain charts and graphs may be limited by date ranges, as stated on the charts and graphs. Different time periods may produce different results. Charts and figures are provided for illustrative purposes and are not an indication of past or future performance of any PGIM product or GIC investment.

These materials represent the views, opinions and recommendations of the author(s) regarding the economic conditions, asset classes, securities, issuers or financial instruments referenced herein, and are subject to charge without notace. Certain information contained herein has been obtained from sources that PGIM and GIC believes to be reliable; however, PGIM and GIC cannot guarantee the accuracy of such information, assure its completeness, or warrant such information will not be changed. The information contained herein is of the adultor(s) regarding the consolered herein) and is subject to change without notice. PGIM and GIC have no obligation to update any or all of such information, nor do we make any express or implied warranties or representations as to the completeness or accuracy or accur

The information contained herein is collectively provided by GIC. Singapore's sovereign wealth fund and **PGIM**, **inc.**, the principal asset management business of Prudential Financial, Inc. (PFI), and an investment adviser registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission. PFI of the United States is not affiliated in any manner with Prudential pic, incorporated in the United Kingdom on with Prudential Assurance Company, a subsidiary of M&G pic, incorporated in the United Kingdom or with a substate is not affiliated by the Financial Conduct Authority of the United Kingdom or with Prudential assurance Company. In State assurance Company, a subsidiary of M&G pic, incorporated in the United Kingdom (Firm Reference Number 193418) and duly passported in various juricidicitons in the EEA. For the information provided by PGIM, **information is presented by PGIM**, **information provided by PGIM**, **information is presented by PGIM**, **information is presen**

PGIM, the PGIM logo and Rock design are service marks, and OASIS is a trademark, of PFI and its related entities, registered in many jurisdictions worldwide.